

Rankin & Associates, Consulting

Assessment • Planning • Interventions

Stetson University Deland

Assessment of the Climate for Learning, Living, and Working Executive Summary

July 2016



Executive Summary

Introduction

Stetson University affirms that diversity and inclusion are crucial to the intellectual vitality of the campus community. It is through freedom of exchange over different ideas and viewpoints in supportive environments that individuals develop the critical thinking and citizenship skills that will benefit them throughout their lives. Diversity and inclusion engender academic engagement where teaching, working, learning, and living take place in pluralistic communities of mutual respect.

Stetson University is dedicated to fostering a caring community that provides leadership for constructive participation in a diverse, multicultural world. As noted in Stetson University's mission statement, "Our mission at Stetson University is to provide an excellent education in a creative community where learning and values meet, and to foster in students the qualities of mind and heart that will prepare them to reach their full potential as informed citizens of local communities and the world."¹ In order to better understand the campus climate, the senior administration at Stetson University recognized the need for a comprehensive tool that would provide campus climate metrics for Stetson University students, faculty, and staff.

Throughout the 2013-2014 academic year, the Stetson community was invited to take part in conversations about the strategic priorities that would guide the following 5 years. At the end of that year, the senior administrators unveiled the 2014-2019 Strategic Map. At the base of the map lies the foundational goal, "Be a Diverse Community of Inclusive Excellence." To advance that goal, Stetson University President Wendy B. Libby appointed the Diversity Inclusion Task Force (DITF). In 2015, the Climate Study Working Group (CSWG) was developed out of the DITF. The CSWG was composed of faculty, staff, students, and administrators. Ultimately, Stetson University contracted with Rankin & Associates Consulting (R&A) to conduct a campus-wide study entitled, "Stetson University Assessment of Climate for Learning, Living, and Working." The project was developed to provide separate analyses and reports for the Deland² campus and the Gulfport campus³. Data gathered via reviews of relevant Stetson

¹http://www.stetson.edu/other/about/mission-and-values.php

²The Deland campus also included data from the Center at Celebration

University literature, focus groups, and a campus-wide survey focused on the experiences and perceptions of various constituent groups. Based on the findings of this study, community forums will be sponsored on both campuses to assist in the development and implementation of two to three action items.

Project Design and Campus Involvement

The CSWG collaborated with R&A to develop the survey instrument. In the first phase, R&A conducted 14 focus groups at the Deland campus comprised of 88 participants (29 students, 42 faculty, and 17 staff) and nine focus groups at the Gulfport campus comprised of 60 participants (24 students, 13 faculty, and 23 staff). In the second phase, the CSWG and R&A used data from the focus groups to co-construct questions for the campus-wide survey. The final survey instrument was completed in December 2015. The final survey contained 110 items (28 qualitative and 82 quantitative) and was available via a secure online portal from February 2 to March 7, 2016. Confidential paper surveys were distributed to those individuals who did not have access to an Internet-connected computer or who preferred a paper survey.

The conceptual model used as the foundation for Stetson University's assessment of campus climate was developed by Smith et al. (1997) and modified by Rankin (2003). A power and privilege perspective informs the model, one grounded in critical theory, which establishes that power differentials, both earned and unearned, are central to all human interactions (Brookfield, 2005). Unearned power and privilege are associated with membership in dominant social groups (Johnson, 2005) and influence systems of differentiation that reproduce unequal outcomes. The CSWG implemented participatory and community-based processes to generate survey questions as a means to capture the various dimensions of power and privilege that shape the campus experience. In this way, Stetson University's assessment was the result of a comprehensive process to identify the strengths and challenges of campus climate, with a specific focus on the distribution of power and privilege among differing social groups. This report provides an overview of the results of the campus-wide survey at the Deland campus.

³The Gulfport campus also included data from the Tampa Law Center.

Stetson University Deland Campus Participants

Stetson University Deland Campus community members completed 1,082 surveys for an overall response rate of 26%. Only surveys that were at least 50% completed were included in the final data set for analyses.⁴ Response rates by constituent group varied: 22% (n = 624) for Undergraduate Students, 18% (n = 56) for Graduate Students, 29% (n = 154) for Faculty, and 46% (n = 455) for Staff. Table 1 provides a summary of selected demographic characteristics of survey respondents. The percentages offered in Table 1 are based on the numbers of respondents in the sample (n) for each demographic characteristic.⁵

⁴Fourteen surveys were removed because they did not complete at least 50% of the survey, and four duplicate submissions were removed. Surveys were also removed from the data file if the respondent did not provide consent (n = 0).

⁵The total n for each demographic characteristic may differ as a result of missing data.

Characteristic	Subgroup	n	% of Sample
Position status	Undergraduate Student	624	57.7
	Graduate/Professional Student	56	5.2
	Faculty	154	14.2
	Administrator	37	3.4
	Staff	211	19.5
Gender identity	Man	333	30.8
	Women	708	65.4
Racial identity	Black/African-American/Afro-Caribbean	36	3.3
	Hispanic/Latin@/Chican@	74	6.8
	Other People of Color	81	7.5
	White	752	69.5
	Multiple Race	90	8.3
Sexual identity	LGBQ	148	13.7
	Heterosexual	876	81.0
Citizenship status	U.S. Citizen	962	88.9
	Non-U.S./Naturalized Citizen	113	10.4
Disability status	Disability	86	7.9
	No Disability	937	86.6
	Multiple Disability	51	4.7
Faith-based affiliation	Christian Affiliation	565	52.2
	Other Faith-Based Affiliation	46	4.3
	No Affiliation	372	34.4
	Multiple Affiliation	55	5.1

Table 1. Stetson Deland Sample Demographics

Note: The total n for each demographic characteristic may differ as a result of missing data.

Key Findings – Areas of Strength

1. High levels of comfort with the climate at Stetson Deland

Climate is defined as the "current attitudes, behaviors, and standards of employees and students concerning the access for, inclusion of, and level of respect for individual and group needs, abilities, and potential."⁶ The level of comfort experienced by faculty, staff, and students is one indicator of campus climate.

- 75% (*n* = 814) of the survey respondents were "comfortable" or "very comfortable" with the climate at Stetson Deland.
- 77% (*n* = 309) of Faculty and Staff respondents were "comfortable" or "very comfortable" with the climate in their departments/work units.
- 84% (*n* = 701) of Student and Faculty respondents were "comfortable" or "very comfortable" with the climate in their classes.
- 78% (n = 260) of Men respondents and 75% (n = 532) of Women respondents were "very comfortable" or "comfortable" with the overall climate on campus.

2. Faculty Respondents – Positive attitudes about faculty work

- Tenure-Track Faculty respondents felt that teaching (80%, n = 91) and research (95%, n = 105) were valued by Stetson Deland.
- Non-Tenure-Track Faculty respondents felt that teaching (92%, n = 35) was valued by Stetson Deland.
- 81% (n = 122) of Faculty respondents felt that their teaching was valued.
- 59% (*n* = 88) of Faculty respondents felt that their research/creative activity was valued.
- Only 11% (n = 11) of Tenure-Track Faculty respondents thought that faculty members in their departments/programs who used family accommodation (FMLA) policies (e.g., child care, elder care) were disadvantaged in promotion and/or tenure.

⁶Rankin & Reason, 2008, p. 264

• 97% (*n* = 143) of Faculty respondents would recommend Stetson Deland as a good place to work.

3. Staff Respondents – Positive attitudes about staff work

- 61% (*n* = 149) of Staff respondents reported that they were able to complete their assigned duties during scheduled hours.
- 84% (n = 65) of Staff respondents believed that they were given a reasonable time frame to complete assigned responsibilities.
- 83% (n = 205) of Staff and Administrator respondents thought that they had colleagues/coworkers who gave them job/career advice or guidance when they needed it.
- 82% (n = 200) of Staff respondents believed that the campus provided them with resources to pursue training/professional development opportunities.
- 85% (*n* = 197) of Staff respondents indicated that the campus was supportive of taking extended leave (e.g., FMLA, parental).
- 84% (*n* = 204) of Staff respondents indicated that Stetson Deland is a good place to work.

4. Student Respondents – Positive attitudes about academic experiences

The way students perceive and experience their campus climate influences their performance and success in college.⁷ Research also supports the pedagogical value of a diverse student body and faculty for improving learning outcomes.⁸ Attitudes toward academic pursuits are one indicator of campus climate.

- 82% (n = 551) of Student respondents felt valued by Stetson Deland faculty, 72% (n = 484) felt valued by Stetson Deland staff, and 38% (n = 252) felt valued by Stetson Deland senior administrators.
- 78% (n = 522) of Student respondents felt valued by faculty in the classroom.
- 80% (*n* = 495) of Student respondents had faculty whom they perceived as role models.

⁷Pascarella & Terenzini, 2005

⁸Hale, 2004; Harper & Hurtado, 2007; Harper & Quaye, 2004

Student Respondents – Perceptions of Academic Success

A confirmatory factor analysis was conducted on the scale, *Perceived Academic Success*, derived from Question 12 on the survey. Analyses using these scales revealed:

Subsequent analyses on *Perceived Academic Success* for Students was significant for three comparisons: Black/African-American/Afro-Caribbean versus Other People of Color, Hispanic/Latin@/Chican@ versus Other People of Color, and White⁹ versus Other People of Color. These findings suggest that Students of Color (defined in these analyses as Students who identify with racial/ethnic minority groups other than Black/African-American/Afro-Caribbean or Hispanic/Latin@/Chican@) have less *Perceived Academic Success* than Black/African-American/Afro-Caribbean, Hispanic/Latin@/Chican@, or White Students.

Key Findings – Opportunities for Improvement

1. Members of several constituent groups indicated that they experienced exclusionary, intimidating, offensive, and/or hostile conduct.

Several empirical studies reinforce the importance of the perception of nondiscriminatory environments for positive learning and developmental outcomes.¹⁰ Research also underscores the relationship between workplace discrimination and subsequent productivity.¹¹ The survey requested information on experiences of exclusionary, intimidating, offensive, and/or hostile conduct.

• 23% (n = 246) of respondents indicated that they personally had experienced exclusionary, intimidating, offensive, and/or hostile conduct.¹²

⁹ White references respondents that reported identifying as White and no other race or ethnicity.

¹⁰Aguirre & Messineo, 1997; Flowers & Pascarella, 1999; Pascarella & Terenzini, 2005; Whitt, Edison, Pascarella, Terenzini, & Nora, 2001

¹¹Silverschanz, Cortina, Konik, & Magley, 2008; Waldo, 1999

¹²The literature on microaggressions is clear that this type of conduct has a negative influence on people who experience the conduct, even if they feel at the time that it had no impact (Sue, 2010; Yosso, Smith, Ceja, & Solórzano, 2009).

- 27% (n = 66) noted that the conduct was based on their position status, 19% (n = 47) felt that it was based on their ethnicity, 18% (n = 43) felt that it was based on their gender/gender identity, and 16% (n = 39) felt that it was based on their age.
- Differences emerged based on ethnicity/racial identity:
 - Significantly greater percentages of Black/African-American/Afro-Caribbean respondents (37%, n = 27) and Other People of Color (31%, n = 11) believed that they had experienced this conduct than White respondents (21%, n = 155),

Respondents were offered the opportunity to elaborate on their experiences of exclusionary, intimidating, offensive, and/or hostile conduct. More than 100 respondents from all constituent groups contributed further data regarding their personal experiences of exclusion, intimidation, and hostility at Stetson Deland. One common theme emerged from Student and Faculty respondents: students were often the perpetrators or considered harsh or destructive. Among Employee (Faculty, Staff, and Administrators) respondents, group conflict and workplace were two common topics. Employee respondents noted feeling like "second-class citizens," and disrespected. Student respondents wrote about discrimination. They noted racist remarks online, derogatory slurs in person, and being heckled. The respondents who wrote about discrimination noted it was based on race, ethnicity, citizenship, religion, politics, and faith-based affiliations.

2. One constituent group indicated that they were less comfortable with the overall campus climate.

Prior research on campus climate has focused on the experiences of faculty, staff, and students associated with historically underserved social/community/affinity groups (e.g., women, Other People of Color, people with disabilities, first-generation students, veterans).¹³

¹³Harper & Hurtado, 2007; Hart & Fellabaum, 2008; Norris, 1992; Rankin, 2003; Rankin & Reason, 2005; Worthington, Navarro, Loewy, & Hart, 2008

- Differences by racial identity:
 - o Respondents of Color (69%, n = 131) were less likely to be "very comfortable" or "comfortable" with the overall climate at Stetson Deland than were White respondents (78%, n = 585).

3. Faculty and Staff Respondents – Challenges with work-life issues

- 57% (n = 66) of Tenure-Track Faculty respondents, 63% (n = 12) of Non-Tenure-Track Faculty respondents, and 51% (n = 127) of Staff respondents had seriously considered leaving Stetson Deland in the past year.
 - \circ 52% (*n* = 113) of those Faculty and Staff respondents who seriously considered leaving did so because of financial reasons.
- 19% (n = 75) of Faculty and Staff respondents observed unjust hiring; (15%, n = 59) observed unfair, unjust disciplinary actions; and (22%, n = 87) observed unfair or unjust promotion, tenure, and/or reclassification.
- 40% (*n* = 98) of Staff and Administrator respondents felt that they were pressured by departmental/program work requirements that occurred outside of normally scheduled hours.
- 52% (*n* = 124) of Staff respondents felt that Stetson Deland provided adequate resources to help them manage work-life balance.
- 40% (*n* = 90) of Staff respondents believed that people who have children or elder care were burdened with balancing work and family responsibilities.
- 39% (*n* = 60) of Faculty respondents felt valued by Stetson Deland senior administrators.

4. Faculty Respondents – Challenges with faculty work

- 32% (n = 35) of Tenure-Track Faculty respondents believed that faculty opinions were valued within Stetson Deland committees.
- 58% (*n* = 22) of Tenure-Track Faculty respondents "agreed" or "strongly agreed" that tenure standards/promotion standards were applied equally to all faculty in their schools/division.

- 18% (*n* = 18) of Tenure-Track Faculty respondents felt pressured to change their research/scholarship agenda to achieve tenure/promotion.
- 38% (n = 40) of Tenure-Track Faculty respondents believed that they were burdened by service responsibilities.
- 84% (*n* = 32) of Non-Tenure-Track Faculty respondents felt burdened by service responsibilities beyond those of their colleagues with similar performance expectations.
- 46% (n = 17) of Non-Tenure-Track Faculty respondents felt that their opinions were taken seriously by senior administrators

Faculty respondents were provided the opportunity to elaborate on their experiences regarding faculty work. Primarily, many respondents were concerned with the decision-making process used by the administration, particularly as it related to faculty input. Faculty respondents also wrote about the high service burden, lack of participation in substantive committees, and expected conformity within committees. Additionally, Faculty respondents remarked that while the tenure and promotion process is clear, it is easy to discriminate, and guidelines were not applied fairly.

5. A meaningful percentage of respondents experienced unwanted sexual contact.

In 2014, *Not Alone: The First Report of the White House Task Force to Protect Students from Sexual Assault* indicated that sexual assault is a significant issue for colleges and universities nationwide, affecting the physical health, mental health, and academic success of students. The report highlights that one in five women is sexually assaulted while in college. One section of the Stetson Deland survey requested information regarding sexual assault.

- 125 (12%) respondents indicated that they had experienced unwanted sexual contact while at Stetson Deland.
- 113 (90%) of the 125 respondents who experienced unwanted sexual assault were Undergraduate Students; 97 (77%) were Women.
- These respondents rarely reported to anyone at Stetson Deland that they had experienced unwanted sexual contact.

Respondents were offered the opportunity to elaborate on why they did not report unwanted sexual contact. Two themes emerged among Stetson Deland' respondents who explained why they did not report unwanted sexual contact. The primary rationale offered by respondents for not reporting these incidents was that the incident was not significant enough to report. Respondents also mentioned negative perceptions and possible consequences should they choose to report the incident.

Conclusion

Stetson Deland climate findings¹⁴ were consistent with those found in higher education institutions across the country, based on the work of R&A Consulting.¹⁵ For example, 70% to 80% of respondents in similar reports found the campus climate to be "comfortable" or "very comfortable." A similar percentage (75%) of all Stetson Deland respondents reported that they were "comfortable" or "very comfortable" with the climate at Stetson Deland. Likewise, 20% to 25% of respondents in similar reports indicated that they personally had experienced exclusionary, intimidating, offensive, and/or hostile conduct. At Stetson Deland, a similar percentage of respondents (23%) indicated that they personally had experienced exclusionary, intimidating, offensive, and/or hostile conduct. The results also paralleled the findings of other climate studies of specific constituent groups offered in the literature.¹⁶

Stetson Deland's climate assessment report provides baseline data on diversity and inclusion, and addresses Stetson Deland's mission and goals. While the findings may guide decisionmaking in regard to policies and practices at Stetson Deland, it is important to note that the cultural fabric of any institution and unique aspects of each campus's environment must be taken into consideration when deliberating additional action items based on these findings. The climate assessment findings provide the Stetson Deland community with an opportunity to build upon its strengths and to develop a deeper awareness of the challenges ahead. Stetson Deland, with

¹⁴Additional findings disaggregated by position status and other selected demographic characteristics are provided in the full report.

¹⁵Rankin & Associates Consulting, 2015

¹⁶Guiffrida, Gouveia, Wall, & Seward, 2008; Harper & Hurtado, 2007; Harper & Quaye, 2004; Hurtado & Ponjuan, 2005; Rankin & Reason, 2005; Sears, 2002; Settles, Cortina, Malley, & Stewart, 2006; Silverschanz et al., 2008; Yosso et al., 2009

support from senior administrators and collaborative leadership, is in a prime position to actualize its commitment to an inclusive campus and to institute organizational structures that respond to the needs of its dynamic campus community.

References

- Aguirre, A., & Messineo, M. (1997). Racially motivated incidents in higher education: What do they say about the campus climate for minority students? *Equity & Excellence in Education*, *30*(2), 26–30.
- Association of American Colleges and Universities (AAC&U). (1995). *The drama of diversity and democracy*. Washington, DC: Association of American Colleges and Universities.

Bartz, A. E. (1988). Basic statistical concepts. New York: Macmillan.

- Bilimoria, D., & Stewart, A.J. (2009). "Don't ask, don't tell": The academic climate for lesbian, gay, bisexual, and transgender faculty in science and engineering. *National Women's Studies Association Journal*, 21(2), 85-103.
- Boyer, E. (1990). *Campus life: In search of community*. Princeton, NJ: The Carnegie Foundation for the Advancement of Teaching.
- Brookfield, S. D. (2005). *The Power of Critical Theory: Liberating Adult Learning and Teaching*. San Diego, CA: Jossey-Bass.
- Cantor, D., & Fisher, W. B. (2015). Report on the AAU Campus Climate Survey on Sexual Assault and Sexual Misconduct: Rockville, MD: Westat.
- Chang, M.J. (2003). Racial differences in viewpoints about contemporary issues among entering college students: Fact or fiction? *NASPA Journal*, 40(5), 55-71.
- Chang, M. J., Denson, N., Sáenz, V., & Misa, K. (2006). The educational benefits of sustaining cross-racial interaction among undergraduates. *Journal of Higher Education*, 77(3), 430– 455.
- D'Augelli, A. R., & Hershberger, S. L. (1993). African American undergraduates on a predominantly White campus: Academic factors, social networks, and campus climate. *Journal of Negro Education*, 62(1), 67–81
- Flowers, L., & Pascarella, E. (1999). Cognitive effects of college racial composition on African American students after 3 years of college. *Journal of College Student Development, 40*, 669–677.
- Gardner, S. K. (2013). Women and faculty departures from a striving institution: Between a rock and a hard place. *The Review of Higher Education*, *36*(3), 349-370.

- Griffin, K.A., Bennett, J.C., & Harris, J. (2011). Analyzing gender differences in Black faculty marginalization through a sequential mixed methods design. In S. Museus & K. Griffin, (Eds.), *New Directions for Institutional Research*, No. 151, (pp. 45-61). San Francisco, CA: Jossey-Bass.
- Guiffrida, D., Gouveia, A., Wall, A., & Seward, D. (2008). Development and validation of the Need for Relatedness at College Questionnaire (*n*RC-Q). *Journal of Diversity in Higher Education*, 1(4), 251–261. doi: 10.1037/a0014051
- Gurin, P., Dey, E. L., Hurtado, S., & Gurin, G. (2002). Diversity and higher education: Theory and impact on educational outcomes. *Harvard Educational Review*, 72, 330–365.
- Hale, F. W. (2004). What makes racial diversity work in higher education: Academic leaders present successful policies and strategies: Stylus Publishing, LLC.
- Harper, S., & Hurtado, S. (2007). Nine themes in campus racial climates and implications for institutional transformation. *New Directions for Student Services*, 2007(120), 7–24.
- Harper, S. R., & Quaye, S. J. (2004). Taking seriously the evidence regarding the effects of diversity on student learning in the college classroom: A call for faculty accountability. *UrbanEd*, 2(2), 43–47.
- Hart, J., & Fellabaum, J. (2008). Analyzing campus climate studies: Seeking to define and understand. *Journal of Diversity in Higher Education*, 1(4), 222–234.
- Hurtado, S., Milem, J., Clayton-Pedersen, A., & Allen, W. (1998). Enacting diverse learning environments: Improving the climate for racial/ethnic diversity in higher educations. ASHE-ERIC Higher Education Report, vol. 26, no. 8. Washington, DC: Association for the Study of Higher Education.
- Hurtado, S., & Ponjuan, L. (2005). Latino educational outcomes and the campus climate. *Journal of Hispanic Higher Education*, 4(3), 235–251. doi: 10.1177/1538192705276548

Ingle, G. (2005). Will your campus diversity initiative work? Academe, 91(5), 6–10.

Johnson, A. (2005). Privilege, power, and difference (2nd ed.). Boston, MA: McGraw-Hill.

Johnson, D. R., Soldner, M., Leonard, J., Alvarez, P., Inkelas, K. K., Rowan, K. H., & Longerbeam, S. (2007). Examining sense of belonging among first-year undergraduates from different racial/ethnic groups. *Journal of College Student Development*, 48(5), 525– 542.

- Krebs, C., Lindquist, C., Berzofsky, M., Shook-Sa, B., Peterson, K., Planty, M., Langton, L., Stroop, J. (2016). Campus Climate Survey Validation Study Final Technical Report *Bureau of Justice Statistics Research and Development Series* (pp. 1-193).
- Maramba, D.C. & Museus, S.D. (2011). The utility of using mixed-methods and intersectionality approaches in conducting research on Filipino American students' experiences with the campus climate and on sense of belonging. In S. Museus & K. Griffin, (Eds.), *New Directions for Institutional Research*, No. 151, (pp. 93-101). San Francisco, CA: Jossey-Bass.
- Milem, J., Chang, M., & Antonio, A. (2005). Making diversity work on campus: A research based perspective. Washington, DC: Association of American Colleges and Universities.
- Navarro, R.L., Worthington, R.L., Hart, J., & Khairallah, T. (2009). Liberal and conservative ideology, experiences with harassment, and perceptions of campus climate. *Journal of Diversity in Higher Education*, *2*(2), 78-90.
- Nelson Laird, T. & Niskodé-Dossett, A.S. (2010). How gender and race moderate the effect of interaction across difference on student perceptions of the campus environment. *The Review of Higher Education*, 33(3), 333-356.
- Norris, W. P. (1992). Liberal attitudes and homophobic acts: the paradoxes of homosexual experience in a liberal institution. *Journal of Homosexuality*, 22(3), 81–120.
- Pascarella, E. T., & Terenzini, P. T. (1980). Predicting freshman persistence and voluntary dropout decisions from a theoretical model. *The Journal of Higher Education*, 51(1), 60–75.
- Pascarella, E. T., & Terenzini, P. T. (2005). How college affects students: A third decade of research (Vol. 2). San Diego: Jossey-Bass.
- Patton, L. D., & Catching, C. (2009). Teaching while Black: Narratives of African American student affairs faculty. *International Journal of Qualitative Studies in Education*, 22(6), 713-728.
- Patton, L.D. (2011). Perspectives on identity, disclosure, and the campus environment among African American gay and bisexual men at one historically Black college. *Journal of College Student Development*, 52(1), 77-100.
- Pittman, C.T. (2010). Race and gender oppression in the classroom. The experiences of women faculty of color with White male students. *Teaching Sociology*, *38*(3), 183-196.

- Pike, G. R., & Kuh, G. D. (2006). Relationships among structural diversity, informal peer interactions, and perceptions of the campus environment." *Review of Higher Education*, 29(4), 425–450.
- Rankin & Associates Consulting. (2016, May 15). Recent clients and reports. Retrieved from http://www.rankin-consulting.com/clients
- Rankin, S. (2003). *Campus climate for LGBT people: A national perspective*. New York: NGLTF Policy Institute.
- Rankin, S., & Reason, R. (2005). Differing perceptions: How students of color and white students perceive campus climate for underrepresented groups. *Journal of Student College Development*, 46(1), 43–61.
- Rankin, S., & Reason, R. (2008). Transformational tapestry model: A comprehensive approach to transforming campus climate. *Journal of Diversity in Higher Education*, 1(4), 262–274. doi: 10.1037/a0014018
- Sáenz, V. B., Nagi, H. N., & Hurtado, S. (2007). Factors influencing positive interactions across race for African American, Asian American, Latino, and White college students." *Research in Higher Education*, 48(1), 1–38.
- Sears, J. T. (2002). The institutional climate for Lesbian, Gay and Bisexual education faculty. *Journal of Homosexuality*, 43(1), 11–37. doi: 10.1300/J082v43n01_02
- Settles, I. H., Cortina, L. M., Malley, J., & Stewart, A. J. (2006). The climate for women in academic science: The good, the bad, and the changeable. *Psychology of Women Quarterly*, 30(1), 47–58. doi: 10.1111/j.1471-6402.2006.00261.x
- Silverschanz, P., Cortina, L., Konik, J., & Magley, V. (2008). Slurs, snubs, and queer jokes: Incidence and impact of heterosexist harassment in academia. *Sex Roles*, 58(3–4), 179– 191. doi: 10.1007/s11199-007-9329-7
- Smith, D. (2009). *Diversity's promise for higher education: Making it work*. Baltimore: Johns Hopkins Press.
- Smith, D. G., Gerbick, G. L., Figueroa, M. A., Watkins, G. H., Levitan, T., Moore, L. C.,
 Figueroa, B. (1997). *Diversity works: The emerging picture of how students benefit.*Washington, DC: Association of American Colleges and Universities.

- Smith, E., & Witt, S. L. (1993). A comparative study of occupational stress among African American and White faculty: A research note. *Research in Higher Education*, 34(2), 229–241.
- Solórzano, D. G., Ceja, M., & Yosso, T. J. (2000). Critical race theory, racial microaggressions, and campus racial climate: The experiences of African American college students. *Journal of Negro Education*, 69(1), 60-73.
- Strayhorn, T.L. (2013). Measuring race and gender difference in undergraduate perceptions of campus climate and intentions to leave college: An analysis in Black and White. *Journal* of Student Affairs Research and Practice, 50(2), 115-132.
- Sue, D. W. (2010). *Microaggressions in everyday life: Race, gender, and sexual orientation*. Hoboken, NJ: Wiley.
- Trochim, W. (2000). *The research methods knowledge base* (2nd ed.). Cincinnati, OH: Atomic Dog.
- Tynes, B.M., Rose, C.A., & Markoe, S.L. (2013). Extending campus life to the internet: Social media, discrimination, and perceptions of racial climate. *Journal of Diversity in Higher Education*, 6(2), 102-114.
- Turner, C. S. V., Myers, S. L., & Creswell, J. W. (1999). Exploring underrepresentation: The case of faculty of color in the Midwest. *The Journal of Higher Education*, 70(1), 27–59.
- Villalpando, O., & Delgado Bernal, D. (2002). A critical race theory analysis of barriers that impede the success of faculty of color. In W. A. Smith, P. G. Altbach, & K. Lomotey (Eds.), *The racial crisis in American higher education: Continuing challenges for the twenty-first century.* (pp. 243–270). Albany, NY: State University of New York Press.
- Waldo, C. (1999). Out on campus: Sexual orientation and academic climate in a university context. American Journal of Community Psychology, 26, 745–774. doi: 10.1023/A:1022110031745
- Whitt, E. J., Edison, M. I., Pascarella, E. T., Terenzini, P. T., & Nora, A. (2001). Influences on students' openness to diversity and challenge in the second and third years of college. *The Journal of Higher Education*, 72(2), 172–204.
- Worthington, R. L., Navarro, R. L., Loewy, M., & Hart, J. L. (2008). Color-blind racial attitudes, social dominance orientation, racial-ethnic group membership and college students' perceptions of campus climate. *Journal of Diversity in Higher Education 1*(1), 8–19.

Yosso, T. J., Smith, W. A., Ceja, M., & Solórzano, D. G. (2009). Critical race theory, racial microaggressions, and campus racial climate for Latina/o undergraduates. *Harvard Educational Review*, 79(4), 659–690, 781, 785–786.