

Executive Summary

Stetson University

Here are some key findings from your 2006 NSSE report.

To see all your results, review the NSSE 2006 Institutional Report we sent in August to John Tichenor, Institutional Research.

Respondent Characteristics

Your reports are based on students randomly selected from all your eligible first-year students and seniors (except where a census administration was conducted). The following display shows how many students responded to the survey, response rates, sampling errors and percent female and full-time. To more accurately represent your overall student population, NSSE responses are weighted to compensate for lower response rates of men and part-time students. The female and full-time proportions of your population are presented in parentheses.

		Number of Respondents	Response Rate	Sampling Error	% Female Resp. (Pop.)	% Full-time Resp. (Pop.)
First-Year Students		309	59%	+/- 3.6%	65% (59%)	99% (99%)
	Seniors	247	56%	+/- 4.1%	68% (60%)	100% (98%)

Benchmarks of Effective Educational Practice

This display compares your first-year and senior benchmark (BM) scores (100-point scales) with those of three reference groups: (a) your selected peers or consortium¹, (b) your selected Carnegie type¹, and (c) all NSSE 2006 institutions. The '+' symbol indicates a score that is statistically higher than the respective comparison group (p<.05), the '-' symbol indicates a score statistically lower than the comparison group, and a blank space indicates no significant difference. See your Benchmark Comparisons report for the actual scores.

actual scor	res.												
				First-Year					Senior				
						101-001000		ompariso		oc u izrag2		mpariso	
						BM	Select	Carn.	NSSE	BM	Select	Carn.	NSS
62.77	1000	07.07	34%		ntary)	Score	Peers	Type	2006	Score	Peers	Туре	200
Level of A	cader	nic Chall	lenge (LAC)										
			d creative work is										
			vels of student acl			55	_		_ 86	59			PIN
		demic effo	ort and setting hig	h expectations fo	or student	33			Т	33			
performanc	ce.							is who	of studen	Percent	- BMC	Jeens W	
Active and	d Coll	aborativo	e Learning (ACI	_)									
Students led	arn mo	re when th	hey are intensely i	nvolved in their	education and								
			ney are learning in			714	010-0			54			1
			rk prepares stude	nts for the diffici	ult problems the	y 43				34			-
will encoun	iter afte	er college.	97.19	smounts	lissu samo daudo	id or symb	TO DINKE	no somn	reserve and	DESIGN	141473		
Student-F	aculty	Interact	tion (SFI)										
Students led	arn firs	thand hov	w experts think ab	out and solve pr	actical problem.	S							
by interacting with faculty members inside and outside the classroom. As a				34			49			+			
		ers become	e role models, me	ntors, and guide	s for continuous	to ot kasto				Worked			-
life-long led	arning.	3000	303.1		Parameter technique		en tonie	no bosses	l-viimma	no o e MiCi	- ioa		
Enriching	Educa	tional Ex	cperiences (EEE)					ni minini				
Complemen	ntary le	arning op	portunities enhan	ce academic pro	grams. Diversit	y							
experiences, technology, internships, community service, and senior cap				31			a study abroad on	50			_		
			ation skills and pr	ovide opportunii	ties to integrate	31			Т	30			
and apply k	knowled	dge.											
Supportiv	e Can	npus Env	ironment (SCE)										
			are more satisfie										
to their suc	cess an	nd cultivat	e positive working	g and social rela	tions among	61				59			
different gr	oups o	n campus.											

Notes

Lists of your selected peers, consortium, and selected Carnegie Classification institutions can be found in the Respondent Characteristics section of your NSSE 2006 Institutional Report.

National Survey of Student Engagement

Executive Summary

NSSE 2006 Item Comparisons

Benchmark scores indicate how engaged your students are in each of the five areas of effective educational practice. By examining individual items, you can better understand what contributes to this level of performance. Even for a benchmark on which your institution performed well, there may be specific items that contribute to the measure where your institution could improve.

This section features the five areas where your first-year and senior students scored the highest and the five areas where they scored the lowest, in relation to students at your selected peer or consortium institutions. While these items were chosen to represent the largest percentage differences, they may not be the most important to your institutional mission or current program or policy goals. We encourage you to review your NSSE 2006 Institutional Report for additional results of specific interest to your campus.

Highest Performing Areas

					Select	Carn.	NSSE
	Item#	BM ³	Percent of students who	Stetson	Peers	Type	2006
ents	lj.	ACL	Tutored or taught other students (paid or voluntary)4	18%	15%	15%	15%
Seniors First-Year Students	lp.	SFI	Discussed ideas from classes with faculty outside of class ⁴	22%	21%	20%	18%
	1u.	EEE	Had serious conversations w/ students of another race or ethnicity ⁴	58%	51%	48%	49%
	1 v.	EEE	Had serious conversations w/ students of other relig./politics/values ⁴	64%	64%	61%	54%
	7b.	EEE	Participated in community service or volunteer work	53%	50%	43%	37%
S	lr.	LAC	Worked harder than you expected to meet an instructor's expectations ⁴	63%	58%	56%	57%
	3e.	LAC	Wrote more than 10 papers or reports of fewer than 5 pages	51%	42%	40%	32%
ioiua	9a.	LAC	Spent more than 10 hours/week preparing for class (studying, etc.)	70%	60%	64%	55%
Š	lj.	ACL	Tutored or taught other students (paid or voluntary) ⁴	34%	25%	28%	22%
	1 u.	EEE	Had serious conversations w/ students of another race or ethnicity ⁴	58%	50%	49%	52%

Lowest Performing Areas

	Item #	BM ³	Percent of students who	Stetson	Select Peers	Carn. Type	NSSE 2006
Students	3a.	LAC	Read more than 10 assigned books or book-length packs of readings	50%	61%	55%	36%
	1b.	ACL	Made a class presentation ⁴	26%	46%	34%	31%
	lg.	ACL	Worked with other students on projects during class ⁴	30%	40%	37%	42%
First-Year	1h.	ACL	Worked with classmates outside of class to prepare class assignments ⁴	41%	Stetson Peers Type 2 50% 61% 55% 26% 46% 34% 34% 30% 40% 37% 41% 56% 50% 41% 56% 50% 41%<	40%	
Firs	1k.	ACL	Did a community-based project as part of a regular course ⁴	10%	19%	14%	11%
	3d.	LAC	Wrote more than 4 papers or reports between 5 and 19 pages	53%	65%	63%	48%
S	1h.	ACL	Worked with classmates outside of class to prepare class assignments ⁴	60%	70%	64%	58%
Seniors	1k.	ACL	Did a community-based project as part of a regular course ⁴	14%	23%	19%	17%
S	7a.	EEE	Did a practicum, internship, field exp., clinical assgmt	60%	72%	66%	53%
	7f.	EEE	Had a study abroad experience	28%	43%	33%	14%

Notes

² Only the 41 items that comprise the five benchmarks are used for this report. Highest and lowest items are those with the greatest percentage differences from your selected peers/consortium.

³ LAC=Level of Academic Challenge; ACL=Active and Collaborative Learning; SFI=Student Faculty Interaction; EEE=Enriching Educational Experiences; SCE=Supportive Campus Environment

⁴ Combination of students responding 'very often' or 'often'

⁵ Rated at least 5 on a 7-point scale

⁶ Combination of students responding 'very much' or 'quite a bit'